31122-8:MRS #337970v3

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 1 8 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:)	I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office to
) Before the Examiner	703.872.9306 on the date shown below.
Oleg Wasynczuk, et al.) 	Troy J. Cole
Serial No.: 09/884,528) Ayal I. Sharon	Print name of person signing certification
Serial 140 07/004,520) Art Unit 2123	- Fail a
Filed: June 19, 2001)	Signature
ŕ) March 29, 2005	April 18, 2005 Date of Signature
DISTRIBUTED SIMILIFATION	j	There of presents



Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

04/20/2005 PRONNER 00000010 09884528 02 FC:2202 425.00 OP

In response to the Office Action mailed November 17, 2004, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

Additionally, a two (2) month extension of time is being requested with a separate document filed concurrently herewith. Please provide any additional extensions of time which are required and charge any additional fees which may be due or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 23-3030.

INTRODUCTION

Claims 1-28 are pending in the application. Claims 1-28 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 and 112 (first paragraph) for lack of utility, and claims 12-18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for alleged ambiguity in limitations applied to a variable n. Claims 9 and 12-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by the Fujimoto article "Parallel and Distributed Simulation" ("Fujimoto" herein), while claims 1-8, 10-

11, 20-21, and 25-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over the combination of Fujimoto with a "DMSO Facility reference" and a "DMSO HLA page." Claims 22-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over the combination of Fujimoto, the DMSO Facility reference, the DMSO HLA page, and certain concepts asserted by "official notice." In this Response, Applicants amend certain claims, discuss certain art, and traverse some of these rejections.

The Examiner also noted that the PTO Form 1449A (modified) that was attached to the Information Disclosure Statement filed by Applicants on 8/23/2001 (and apparently received by the USPTO on 8/27/2001) did not make it into the electronic file. Another copy of that form is being attached hereto for the Examiner's use. The Applicants' file copy does include this form, as well as a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR §1.8. If formal proof of the earlier mailing is required, the undersigned invites contact by the USPTO, and that proof will be provided.